

## HEP HABITAT RESTORATION PROPOSALS--RATING CRITERIA

Instructions: Please rate all items below, considering how the proposal addresses the key aspects noted for each. Please use as much space as needed for comments. If working on a printout, feel free to append additional pages.

**Project Title:**  
**Organization(s) Applying:**  
**Review Committee Member:**

**Requested funds: \$**

EVALUATION (Please circle one or enter value in Rating cell)	Rating	Maximum points
<b>1. GOALS &amp; RATIONALE</b> Does the project address the Target Ecosystem Characteristic (Tributary Connections)? Does the proposal make the case of why the project is needed? Are goals clearly defined, realistic and relevant to HEP? 0 Not at all 1 Somewhat 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>2. SITE IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION</b> Has the applicant identified an appropriate site and provided adequate maps and site photos? If the proposal calls for advancing a study to construction documents has a feasibility study been identified? 0 Not at all 1 Somewhat 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>3. TARGET ECOSYSTEM CHARACTERISTIC AND SITE SELECTION</b> Does the site chosen make sense for a restoration project? Has the applicant addressed the "Tributary Connections" TEC? Have they stated how this project will benefit the Estuary at and beyond the site? 0 Inadequate 1 Modest 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>4. ADEQUACY OF SITE DATA</b> Has the applicant appropriately assessed their data needs and whether a QAPP would be required? 0 Inadequate 1 Modest 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u> <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>5. PROFESSIONAL MERIT</b> Please characterize the organization's and project team's qualifications to carry out the event/project. 0 Inadequate 1 Modest 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>6. WORK PLAN</b> Is the work plan well thought out, described in enough detail, and feasible? Does it identify specific actions (including permitting and other issues) that lead to the completion of the project and to achieving the goals? 0 Inadequate 1 Modest 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>7. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN</b> Has the applicant identified potential funding sources in order to implement the project? Have they received any willingness from potential funders as to their willingness to support the project? 0 Inadequate 1 Poor 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>8. SCHEDULE</b> Is the schedule realistic and likely to be met? Is the event/project expected to be completed by December 30, 2011? Please characterize the proposed schedule as: 0 Inadequate 1 Poor 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>9. BUDGET</b> Is the budget clearly presented and appropriate for the event/project? If matching funds or contributions are required, have these been secured? Please rate the proposed budget. 0 Inadequate 1 Poor 2 Adequate 3 Excellent <u>Comments:</u>	3	3
<b>OTHER COMMENTS:</b>		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>